Snohomish-Stillaguamish LIO Activities Calendar/Restructure Subcommittee Meeting Summary

Friday, February 3, 2017 10:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m. Skype for Business

LIO-Subcommittee Members

Bill Blake, City of Arlington, Stillaguamish Watershed Council Valerie Streeter, Tulalip Tribes Perry Falcone, Snoqualmie Forum Joan Lee, King County Gregg Farris, Snohomish County Surface Water Management Monte Marti, Snohomish Conservation District Morgan Ruff, Tulalip Tribes Dan Calvert, Puget Sound Partnership

LIO Support Staff

Jessica Hamill, Snohomish County Surface Water Management, Senior Planner II/LIO Coordinator Alexa Ramos, Snohomish County Surface Water Management, Planner

1. Welcome, Introductions

Jessica opened the meeting and introductions followed.

2. LIO Structure

Jessica reviewed the draft 2017 Vision, Structure, and Timeline document with the group. A subcommittee member noted that land use issues that act as barriers to implementation were discussed as something the LIO would address. There was agreement that land use considerations had been discussed in the past, but that the LIO never addressed land use issues. There was some discussion around whether the existing membership within the Executive Committee would be most appropriate to address land use constraints as they arise.

Committee members noted the need to know/clarify the following:

- a. What the LIO requirements are relative to our LIO's goals? After which, we should scale our efforts accordingly.
- b. What our LIO's goals are and whether we can prioritize them to focus on one at a time and adaptively manage from there?
- c. What are the roles of the committees in advancing our mission/goals? What is the value in participating?
- d. What are the priority issues and topics we can make progress on?
- e. What are the additional goals and priorities not captured in the Plan?
- f. Two main questions to answer:
 - Do we think it is better to split the LIO by watershed boundary?
 - Do we want to try and utilize existing forums/committees to perform LIO functions?

The committee agreed that the preferred structure should be based on our local needs and not on a PSP-driven process/planning effort. If separating by WRIA is best for Puget Sound recovery then that's what we

should do. It was mentioned that we should be cautious of the impacts any given structure will have on statutory requirements, funding, and membership.

Members commented that we need to articulate what we have done with the funding we've received and why collaboration is important.

The group noted there are multiple definitions of "implementation" and it's worth clarifying what we mean by it.

3. Membership Engagement – (tabled)

4. Summit & LIO Coordinator's Meeting

Jessica re-capped the highlights from the last LIO Coordinator's meeting for the group. She reminded the committee of the March Summit.

5. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 12:05 p.m. The next meeting will be held sometime in late March/early April. A doodle poll will be sent out to schedule the next meeting.

Action Items

- 1. Refine goals, priorities, and vision
- 2. Send out Whatcom model and meet with Whatcom LIO
- 3. Doodle Poll: Schedule meeting to discuss alternative models based on refined vision etc.